

Positioning Asian Americans in social cognition

Jin X. Goh¹  | Ryan F. Lei² | Linda X. Zou³

¹Colby College, Waterville, Maine, USA

²Haverford College, Haverford, Pennsylvania, USA

³University of Maryland–College Park, College Park, Maryland, USA

Correspondence

Jin X. Goh.

Email: jin.x.goh@gmail.com

Funding information

National Science Foundation, Grant/Award Numbers: BCS-2122112, 2140987

Abstract

Asian Americans are increasingly positioned at the center of current events, yet extant theories and approaches in social psychology (and social cognition specifically) may not adequately capture how Asian Americans are perceived and treated in the current American racial landscape. We propose three directions to propel social cognition research on Asian Americans. First, research emphasizes Asian Americans' perceived high status (e.g., the model minority stereotype) while often overlooking their perceived foreignness. The two-dimensional *Racial Position Model* elucidates the consequences of being stereotyped as perpetual foreigners for Asian Americans and their relations with other racial and ethnic groups. Second, research and laypeople alike consider East Asian Americans to be the prototypical Asian Americans, thereby excluding subgroups such as South and Southeast Asian Americans. Considering the *ethnic diversity* of Asian Americans challenges and extends existing social psychological theories. Lastly, much of psychological research approaches race in isolation without considering its intersection with other identities such as gender. An *intersectional framework* offers insights into how Asian Americans' gender and race overlap in our social cognition. More nuanced research on Asian Americans is needed to fully understand race relations in the 21st century and we hope these three interconnected directions can guide researchers who are interested in the topic.

KEYWORDS

Asian Americans, race, ethnicity, intersectionality, perpetual foreigner, stereotypes, social cognition

1 | INTRODUCTION

During the COVID-19 pandemic, Asian Americans faced unprecedented danger not only from a deadly virus but also from their fellow Americans who accused them of being foreign carriers of disease and a threat to “true Americans” (Li & Nicholson, 2021). These resentments and resulting instances of harassment and violence remained on the periphery of national discourse until a White man murdered eight people in Atlanta, six of whom were East Asian women (Diaz & Romo, 2021). Such attacks against Asian Americans revealed a persistent but less recognized side of the Asian American experience: that as much as they are viewed as successful model minorities, they are also seen as perpetual outsiders.

The duality of the Asian American experience has also permeated the U.S. political sphere. In 2022, the Supreme Court heard two cases challenging the use of race-conscious college admissions policies (e.g., affirmative action). The organization Students for Fair Admissions (SFFA) brought forth these challenges by claiming to represent the interests of Asian Americans, alleging that affirmative action discriminates against Asian Americans in favor of less-qualified Black and Latine students (Gersen, 2022). Such narratives portray Asian Americans as a high-achieving monolith when, in reality, college attendance rates and access to educational resources vary drastically across Asian American ethnic subgroups (Gebeloff et al., 2021). As such, many Asian Americans themselves benefit from and support affirmative action (Venkatraman, 2022).

Social psychology's current understanding of Asian Americans fails to adequately explain this somewhat paradoxical portrait. Asian Americans are viewed seemingly positively, yet face widespread racial discrimination (Czopp et al., 2015); they are stereotyped as competitive and threatening yet also submissive and obedient (e.g., Berdahl & Min, 2012; Bu & Borgida, 2021; Fiske et al., 2002). Resolving these apparent contradictions requires going beyond traditional approaches in social cognition, such as introducing greater differentiation *within* the Asian American category. Furthermore, extant research on Asian Americans does not provide a full view of their position in modern race relations (Tseng & Lee, 2021). Asian Americans are perceived and treated in ways that often challenge the “bifurcated poles” of either Black or White (O'Brien, 2008). Indeed, stereotypes of Asian American have deviated from stereotypes of other racial and ethnic groups consistently throughout U.S. history (Ancheta, 2006). These stereotyping processes justify racial hierarchy and maintain the stratification of not only Asian Americans themselves but all communities of color.

We propose three interconnected directions to advance social cognition's study of Asian Americans. We then conclude with a discussion of how our field can continue to progress by taking into greater consideration Asian Americans' actual experiences. First, we argue that social psychology's focus on the portrayal of Asian Americans as a model minority (i.e., a racial and ethnic minority group that has achieved great financial and educational success; Cheryan & Bodenhausen, 2020) only provides a partial understanding of how Asian Americans are perceived. We use the *Racial Position Model* (Zou & Cheryan, 2017) to consider how Asian Americans are stereotyped simultaneously as high in status but perpetually foreign. The inclusion of a dimension of perceived cultural foreignness into our field's consideration of Asian American stereotype content reveals aspects of contemporary race relations that may otherwise be obscured or rendered invisible.

Second, the “Asian American” catch-all label masks the complex heterogeneity contained within the most ethnically and economically diverse U.S. racial group. To address the homogenization of Asian Americans as a monolith, we consider the importance of *ethnic disaggregation* and acknowledging Asian Americans' ethnic diversity. Disaggregating Asian Americans to account for their full spectrum of ethnic heterogeneity will improve the generalizability of our existing theories and methods and uncover novel research avenues to explore.

Third, to address the apparent contradictions of how Asian Americans are represented in social cognition, we consider an *intersectional framework*, which can offer us insights into how perceptions of Asian Americans' race intersect with gender. Investigating how stereotypes of Asian Americans are often intersectional may help to shed light on potential mechanisms by which the racial status quo is maintained and variation with the Asian American category is minimized.

2 | RACIAL POSITION MODEL

In the mid-20th century, the reversal of long-standing bans against immigration from Asia was accompanied by a shift in Asian Americans' stereotype content. The 1965 Immigration Act introduced a preference system that favored the admission of Asian immigrants from well-educated and professional backgrounds, altering the socioeconomic composition of Asian communities in the U.S. (Wu, 2013). Accordingly, in both popular perception and psychological research, Asian Americans have come to be seen as a well-educated and upwardly mobile model minority (Cheryan & Bodenhausen, 2020; Kim et al., 2021; Sue et al., 2021).

The model minority stereotype comprises traits such as being hardworking, intelligent, mathematical, self-disciplined, and obedient (Ho & Jackson, 2001). The seminal Stereotype Content Model (SCM; Fiske et al., 2002) shows that Asian Americans are stereotyped as high in competence (e.g., skilled, capable) due to their high perceived status, but low in warmth (e.g., unsociable, passive) due to their perceived competitiveness with the societal referent group (i.e., White Americans). These mixed stereotypes have mixed consequences. For instance, Asian Americans face discrimination because of their perceived unsociability, which is incongruent with the traits that people in the U.S. value and expect in leaders (e.g., assertiveness, social skills) and can thus lead Asian Americans to be disproportionately excluded from leadership positions (Berdahl & Min, 2012; Lu et al., 2020; Sy et al., 2010). At the same time, being seen as a model minority can buffer Asian Americans against discriminatory experiences faced by other communities of color (e.g., facing bias from teachers, or being racially profiled by police or shopkeepers; Huynh, 2012; Hwang & Goto, 2008; Rosenbloom & Way, 2004). However, a narrow research focus on the model minority stereotype fails to consider another important stereotype that targets Asian Americans: that of the perpetual foreigner.

The perpetual foreigner stereotype portrays Asian Americans as outsiders who are culturally unassimilable, regardless of birthplace or citizenship. Historical and political analyses show that from their earliest arrival to the U.S., Asian Americans have consistently been positioned outside of the American identity (Lee, 2015). For example, immigration and naturalization laws throughout the 19th and early 20th centuries made Asian Americans ineligible for U.S. citizenship and citizenship's rights and privileges (Hong, 2019). The perception of Asian Americans as foreigners can have dangerous consequences, as evidenced by the incarceration of Japanese Americans during World War II for their assumed loyalty to Japan, the erroneous prosecutions of Chinese American scientists for alleged espionage on behalf of foreign governments, or most recently, the targeting of Asian Americans during the COVID-19 pandemic (He & Xie, 2022; Lee, 2022; Li & Nicholson, 2021; Mandalaywala et al., 2021). Anti-Asian violence is often backed by the perceived association between Asian Americans and foreign military adversaries or economic competitors, such as in the murder of Vincent Chin, a Chinese American man who was blamed by his assailants for Japan's impact on the U.S. automobile industry (Lee, 2022). The model minority stereotype alone is limited in its ability to explain such experiences. However, the perpetual foreigner stereotype remains understudied within psychological research. For instance, a search of PsycINFO for *Asian American* and *model minority* together returned nearly 800 peer-reviewed articles. In comparison, a search for *Asian American* and *perpetual foreigner* returned under 40 peer-reviewed articles, and *Asian American* and *foreignness* returned under 10.

The two-dimensional Racial Position Model (Zou & Cheryan, 2017; see also Kim, 1999) reveals that racial and ethnic groups in the U.S. are stereotyped along two distinct dimensions. Along a dimension of perceived status, consistent with past research (Fiske et al., 2002), Asian Americans are seen as higher in educational, economic, and

occupational prestige than other communities of color, though not as high status as White Americans. Along a second dimension of perceived cultural foreignness, Asian Americans are seen as foreigners deviating from the American superordinate category prototype. Indeed, within a shared superordinate category (e.g., "American"), subgroups differ in the extent to which they are perceived as representative of that category (Wenzel et al., 2008). Representations of superordinate categories tend to privilege the characteristics of the dominant subgroup (Hegarty & Pratto, 2001). As such, there exists "shades of Americanness" (Dovidio et al., 2010), in which White Americans are robustly perceived as the most "American" while Black and Native Americans as perceived as relatively less American (see Devos & Mohamed, 2014 for a review). Certain communities of color, including not only Asian Americans but also Latine Americans and Middle Eastern and North African (MENA) Americans, are perceived as especially distant from the American identity to such a degree that they are considered culturally foreign (Zou & Cheryan, 2017). Taken together, Asian Americans are positioned within U.S. society as a high-status model minority, and simultaneously as perpetual foreigners outside the bounds of American identity.

Deeper consideration of how Asian Americans are stereotyped not only as high status, but also as foreign, and how these two dimensions of stereotyping may interact with each other, can improve our understanding of contemporary race relations. A two-dimensional Racial Position Model may help identify the specific contexts in which Asian Americans are likely to be marginalized. For instance, the model minority stereotype would suggest that Asian Americans face less discrimination compared to other communities of color. Indeed, past work has documented that Asian Americans are less likely than Black and Latine Americans to encounter discrimination for certain high-status jobs (e.g., doctor, scientist; Dupree et al., 2021; King et al., 2006). However, Asian Americans may simultaneously be vulnerable to discrimination in job contexts where American identity is considered relevant (e.g., jobs involving national security; Yogeewaran et al., 2012). For instance, Asian Americans may be less likely to be selected for a job requiring American cultural knowledge compared to a job requiring advanced education (Pope et al., 2023). They may also be precluded from positions due to concerns about their accents and English language proficiency (Oreopoulos, 2011; Timming, 2017).

The Racial Position Model may also reveal additional mechanisms that underlie White Americans' prejudiced responses towards Asian Americans. For instance, research on White Americans' perceptions of intergroup threat has found that White Americans perceive Asian Americans as a threat to their own group's economic and academic success (Butz & Yogeewaran, 2011; Maddux et al., 2008). More recent work reveals that White Americans also perceive Asian Americans as a threat to their American culture and identity, and this perceived foreign cultural threat explains their desires to move away in response to local Asian American population growth (Zou & Cheryan, 2022).

Finally, the Racial Position Model may illuminate conditions under which different communities of color may band together. Perceiving shared experiences of discrimination facilitates greater intra-minority solidarity (Craig & Richeson, 2016). Asian Americans' experiences of being stereotyped as a high-status model minority may be inconsistent with other communities of color's experiences with discrimination. However, Asian Americans' experiences of being stereotyped as perpetual foreigners could be highlighted to increase solidarity with other communities of color who are also commonly positioned as foreign (e.g., Latine Americans, MENA Americans; Eidgahy & Pérez, 2022; Zou & Cheryan, 2017). Incorporating both the model minority stereotype and the perpetual foreigner stereotype (and the potential interplay between the two) into our understanding of Asian American stereotype content allows social psychological theory and research to better capture how Asian Americans are perceived, as well as reveal aspects of contemporary race relations that may otherwise go overlooked.

3 | ETHNIC DISAGGREGATION

The "Asian American" label arose out of the 1960s civil rights movements that sought to coalesce different Asian ethnic groups in solidarity with other communities of color (Okamoto, 2014). There are over 20 Asian American ethnic groups, with the six largest ethnic groups being Chinese, Indian, Filipino, Vietnamese, Korean, and Japanese

Americans (Budiman & Ruiz, 2021). Each ethnic group carries its own cultural heritage, language, practices, religion, immigration history, and more.

However, the Asian American label has shifted in its meaning over time to center East Asian Americans (e.g., those with heritage from China, Japan, and Korea). People in the U.S. tend to position those with East Asian heritage as the prototypical Asian Americans (Goh & McCue, 2021; Kuo et al., 2020; Lee & Ramakrishnan, 2020). Although South Asian Americans (e.g., those with heritage from India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh) and Southeast Asian Americans (e.g., those with heritage from Vietnam, the Philippines, and Cambodia) do in fact identify with the broader Asian American category, they are less likely to be categorized as such compared to East Asian Americans (Goh & McCue, 2021; Lee & Ramakrishnan, 2020). South and Southeast Asian Americans themselves acknowledge that they are not perceived or categorized as Asian Americans, in part due to their darker skin tones relative to East Asian Americans (Lee, 2019; Ryabov, 2016; Yamashita, 2022). Such exclusion from the Asian American label marginalizes non-East Asian Americans' experiences, which contributes to their disidentification from the Asian American label (Flores & Huo, 2012; Lee, 2019).

Centering East Asian Americans permeates the research process as well. For instance, popular databases for facial stimuli, such as the Chicago Face Database (Ma et al., 2015) and the Karolinska Directed Emotional Faces (Lundqvist et al., 1998), are composed almost entirely of East Asian faces. Similarly, common research paradigms that involve presenting facial stimuli, such as the Asian - European American Implicit Association Test, exclusively feature East Asian targets (Xu et al., 2014). The perceived prototypicality of East Asian Americans also has consequences for how measures referencing the broad pan-ethnic Asian American community are construed. For instance, the SCM (Fiske et al., 2002) shows that Asian Americans are stereotyped and rated as high in competence. However, participants may be interpreting the broad "Asian American" label as referring to East Asian Americans. Southeast Asian Americans (e.g., Vietnamese and Filipino Americans) instead report being stereotyped as low-achieving and inferior in status and intellect (Nadal et al., 2012; Ngo, 2006). When researchers and participants conflate Asian Americans broadly with East Asian Americans specifically, this can severely limit the generalizability of methods and conclusions.

Conversely, actively incorporating disaggregation into research practices can uncover stark differences in experiences across ethnic communities. While East Asian Americans tend to have higher median household incomes than the national average (which contributes to narratives of Asian Americans as economically successful; Gebeloff et al., 2021), Burmese Americans and Bhutanese Americans have lower household incomes than the national average. The narrative that Asian Americans' presumed economic success is because of their stereotypically strong academic achievements also obscures disparities. While 83% of Taiwanese Americans and 60% of Chinese Americans are college graduates, the graduation rates among Cambodian Americans and Laotian Americans are far below the national average. Although SFFA positioned itself as an advocate for all Asian Americans, the majority of surveyed Asian American voters favor affirmative action; disaggregation further reveals that 82% of Korean Americans and 80% of Indian Americans overwhelmingly support affirmative action, in contrast to 59% of Chinese Americans (Lee et al., 2022). The monolithic label of Asian American conceals the massive heterogeneity of their experiences and researchers ought to take such heterogeneity and diversity more seriously.

Disaggregation can also extend social cognition theories on perceptions of Asian Americans. For instance, disaggregation can illuminate variation in category prototypes by cultural context. Building on the Racial Position Model (Zou & Cheryan, 2017), Goh and McCue (2021) demonstrated that "shades of Americanness" differ even among Asian American ethnic groups, such that South Asian Americans are stereotyped as more foreign and less prototypically American relative to East and Southeast Asian Americans. Crucially, this finding was limited to the U.S. context. In the U.K., "Asians" colloquially refers to South Asian British, due to a longstanding pattern of South Asian migration and the history of British imperial colonization and control of India and other South Asian countries. British participants perceived South Asians as more prototypically Asian and less culturally foreign than American participants. Practicing ethnic disaggregation reveals important and predictable cross-cultural variations in how Asian Americans are stereotyped and racialized.

In practice, disaggregation of Asian Americans does not necessarily mean that all studies should include every single Asian American ethnic group. Rather, simply being specific in describing research stimuli can promote accurate

interpretation of research findings in order to avoid improper generalization. For instance, if researchers are using facial photographs of Asian Americans as stimuli, it is important to specify whether the stimuli are all East Asian Americans or include other Asian American subgroups. When measuring stereotypes of Asian Americans, it may be useful to consider how participants evaluate the broader category as well as the specific ethnic groups to uncover potential similarities and differences. Additionally, we urge researchers to create more diverse databases. As noted above, popular face databases exclusively focus on East Asian targets. Incorporating more diverse Asian targets would surely fuel research programs in social cognition. Finally, when conducting cultural comparisons, researchers should be mindful that the nebulous “Asian” category label may hold different meanings for participants in different countries.

Disaggregation is still a nascent territory within social cognition and more research is needed. Herein, we largely summarize disaggregation research that uses broad ethnic subgroups (e.g., East and South Asian Americans) rather than specific ethnic groups (e.g., Chinese and Indian Americans). However, considerable differences exist within these subgroups. Yet perceivers may not always be able to (or want to) differentiate between subgroups (Kawakami et al., 2017), such as Vincent Chin's attackers who thought he was Japanese (Lee, 2022), or Americans' avoidance of both Chinese and non-Chinese Asian restaurants during the COVID-19 pandemic (Huang et al., 2023). During World War II, Chinese Americans wore “I am Chinese” buttons to escape anti-Japanese sentiment because other Americans could not readily distinguish between the two East Asian ethnic groups (Lee, 2015). Even within each specific ethnic group, there are still substantial variations in socioeconomic status, skin tone, and political engagements. For instance, one in four Chinese Americans in New York City lives in poverty (Wong, 2021), but such statistics are concealed by the narrow focus on the model minority stereotype, which could lead to an underestimation of the Asian-White wealth gap (Kuo et al., 2020). In addition to ethnicity, researchers can consider other ways to disaggregate Asian Americans, such as immigration and generational statuses, political affiliation, religion, and social class and caste.

Accurate representation of Asian American heterogeneity can expose the inequities that different Asian American subgroups face. Nonetheless, disaggregation research must not be entrapped by the mindset of who has it worse than others, which pits Asian ethnic groups against one another and against other communities of color (Purdie-Vaughns & Eibach, 2008; Tseng & Lee, 2021; Young & Sullivan, 2016). Rather, the focus should be on how acknowledging the heterogeneity and diversity of Asian American communities can paint a fuller and more colorful portrait of social cognition instead of viewing them as a homogenous monolith that all look the same.

4 | INCORPORATING AN INTERSECTIONAL FRAMEWORK

The need to consider the perpetual foreigner stereotype and heterogeneity within the Asian American category is also fundamentally a need to recognize and incorporate issues of power and hierarchy into our characterization of Asian Americans—something that an intersectional framework is well-positioned to do (Cole, 2009; Crenshaw, 1989). By intersectional framework, we refer to a set of guiding questions posed by Cole (2009) inviting researchers to ask where there are similarities across the entire group, where there are unique outcomes and experiences among subgroups, and what role structural factors play in shaping these outcomes.

Although there are a variety of subgroups to consider within Asian Americans, we focus on how perceptions of Asian Americans intersect with gender because gender is a fundamental component of how people understand the social world (Martin & Slepian, 2021; Sidanius & Pratto, 1999). Moreover, the intersection of gender and race is the starting point and focus for Black feminist scholars who championed the importance of intersectionality (e.g., Crenshaw, 1989). Nonetheless, there are important intersections with other social categories to consider, including how older (Kalish & Moriwaki, 1973) or gay (Semrow et al., 2020) Asian Americans are perceived and treated by others. Overall, we would urge interested readers to consider why they are interested in a particular social location implied by an intersection of social categories.

Applying the guiding questions from an intersectional framework can be helpful for addressing both theoretical puzzles and real-world outcomes of anti-Asian discrimination. For example, one puzzle is why Asian Americans

are sometimes stereotyped as high in competence but low in warmth—both stereotypically masculine traits (Fiske et al., 2002)—and at other times stereotyped with more feminine traits (e.g., Galinsky et al., 2013; Ghavami & Peplau, 2013). We suggest that focusing attention on how structural forces shape society throughout history helps to resolve this apparent puzzle by directing researchers to consider *when* and *why* Asian Americans might be gendered in a particular way. For example, understanding that the Page Act was enacted in 1875 to specifically exclude Chinese women, who were viewed as “immoral,” helps to clarify why Asian Americans are often feminized. That is, the “threat” of Asian people has long focused on Asian women, who were seen as a threat to White Christian marriages (Hwang & Parreñas, 2021). This feminization of Asians was extended to Asian men who were forced into stereotypically women's work (e.g., laundry) after the completion of the transcontinental railroad (Lei et al., 2023; Park, 2013).

Vestiges of these historical events and processes reverberate in U.S. society today. Asian American women continue to be exoticized (Mukkamala & Suyemoto, 2018; Sue et al., 2007), often in service of White men's sexual desires (Hwang & Parreñas, 2021; Sue et al., 2007). These ideologies are even socialized to Asian American girls and young women (Ahn et al., 2022). Meanwhile, Asian American men continue to be emasculated and relegated to the margins of U.S. culture and society (e.g., Schug et al., 2015, 2017).

Additionally, applying an intersectional framework encompasses and extends both the need for disaggregation and the need to consider how perceived status and cultural foreignness shape perceptions of Asian Americans. With respect to disaggregation, incorporating an intersectional lens can help identify how different subgroups of Asian Americans face divergent outcomes like career advancement opportunities (Lu et al., 2020). For example, East Asian Americans are perceived as less assertive than South Asian Americans in law and business schools, which helps explain the underperformance of East Asian Americans in these contexts (Lu et al., 2020). Given that people also perceive social roles (e.g., organizational leaders) to be gendered (Hall et al., 2015; Schein et al., 1996), these differences in perceptions of masculine- and feminine-typed traits for Asian Americans subgroups matter.

Considering how ethnic disaggregation might shed light on inequalities is even more important when incorporating a person's gender into the analysis. For example, East Asian American women are considered hyperfeminine (Hall et al., 2015), which may disadvantage them in contexts that emphasize masculinity-contests (i.e., hyper-competitiveness; Vial et al., 2022). To the extent that South Asian American women are viewed as less feminine than their East Asian counterparts, they may be less subjected to the perception that their gender is unfit for masculinized contexts. However, it may be possible that there are unique dynamics at these intersections of race and gender, rather than simply a question of the degree of bias experienced (Purdie-Vaughns & Eibach, 2008). Related research examining differences in backlash for Black and White women expressing agency shows that Black women are not punished to the same degree as White women for agentic behavior (Livingston et al., 2012) but are also held to higher standards for organizational underperformance (Rosette & Livingston, 2012).

With respect to how intersectionality can also inform how perceived status and cultural foreignness shape perceptions of Asian Americans, we can consider how both these dimensions are hierarchy-maintaining ideologies (as indicated by the Racial Position Model) and are gendered in a way to maintain White supremacy. Specifically, when considered through the lens of intersectionality, stereotypes of Asian Americans are emphasized to contrast with stereotypes of Black people in the U.S. (for a review, see Lei et al., 2023). Importantly, different gendered stereotypes may be strategically deployed to maintain the racial status hierarchy. More masculine stereotypes of competence are invoked to highlight Asian Americans' “model minority” status relative to Black Americans and minimize Black Americans' experiences with racism (Kim, 1999), but more feminine stereotypes are invoked when explaining why Asian Americans are not a fit for leadership positions relative to White Americans (e.g., Sy et al., 2010). Additionally, we would argue the perpetual foreigner stereotype is implicitly gendered in that stereotypes of Asian Americans as sly, cunning, and in the U.S. to “steal” jobs are more aligned with stereotypes of women (Maddux et al., 2008). By carefully considering the use of gendered stereotypes of Asian Americans, researchers can gain insight into the mechanisms by which racial hierarchy is maintained.

Finally, positioning Asian Americans as an integral part of social cognition research and incorporating an intersectional framework can be important for bridging sub-areas of psychology and pushing forward theories about how we learn about the social world. For example, developmental researchers have posited that humans come equipped

with the capacity to differentiate between ingroup and outgroup members (Spelke & Kinzler, 2007), of which gender is a fundamental differentiator (Kinzler et al., 2010; Sidanius & Pratto, 1999). From this perspective, infants and children's acquisition of social categories may be orthogonal, acquiring representations of gender and race independent of one another. Although this approach has yielded important insights in how children reason about a social category, research designs operating under this premise preclude the possibility that children account for multiple social categories in an intersectional way and leave open theoretical questions of whether children are reasoning about ingroup-outgroup dynamics or about the social categories themselves.

To tackle some of these questions, recent work has begun to examine whether children develop representations of social categories that are more intersectional by incorporating Asian Americans as stimuli alongside Black and White Americans. For example, while children marginalize Black women from their representations of a female gender group, they do not do the same to White or Asian women (Lei et al., 2020; Leshin et al., 2022). Moreover, (predominantly White) children are more likely to pick Asian women as the most typical representation of women and Black women as the least representative (Lei et al., 2022). These findings suggest an alternative possibility for how children learn about the social world. Rather than learn about one category at a time (e.g., gender, then race) and then activate a specific category in a given context, children may be learning about people in this more intersectional way. This work highlights how the inclusion of Asian Americans can improve our understanding of psychological phenomena, such as moving beyond simple ingroup-outgroup dynamics.

5 | UPDATING PERCEIVERS' PERSPECTIVES BY INCORPORATING TARGETS' PERSPECTIVES

Thus far, we have focused on how Asian Americans are *perceived* by others. However, social cognition theory and research can be greatly informed by taking into consideration the experiences of Asian Americans themselves. For instance, Asian Americans' reported experiences with prejudice and discrimination show a clear emergence of the perpetual foreigner stereotype. Asian Americans describe frequent encounters of being treated like "aliens in their own land," faced with assumptions that they are not "real" Americans (e.g., "Where are you really from?"; Cheryan & Monin, 2005; Sue et al., 2007; Zou & Cheryan, 2017). These experiences are also qualitatively different across subgroups of Asian Americans. For example, South Asian Americans are uniquely stereotyped as terrorists, which is a highly gendered stereotype that particularly affects South Asian men (Iwamoto & Kaya, 2016; Thangaraj, 2015).

These experiences of being stereotyped in various ways have consequences for Asian Americans' psychological well-being. For example, being targeted by the perpetual foreigner stereotypes (e.g., having their American citizenship or residency questioned, or having their English language ability commented on) predicts greater depressive symptoms and a lower sense of belonging in the U.S (Armenta et al., 2013; Huynh et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2011). As another example, East Asian American women who face socialization pressures to be hyperfeminine express issues with body image, self-esteem, and general mental health (Ahn et al., 2022).

Incorporating insights from Asian Americans' own experiences helps researchers and the general public alike to better understand current events as they unfold. The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the enduring nature of the perpetual foreigner stereotype as Asian Americans faced directives to "go home" and accusations of being a diseased threat invading America (Cheah et al., 2020; Lee & Waters, 2021; Wu et al., 2021). By understanding the experiences of targets of discrimination, we can develop stronger theories on how these groups are perceived.

6 | CONCLUSION

Over 11,500 anti-Asian violence cases were recorded between 2020 and 2022, the majority of which targeted Asian women (Stop AAPI Hate, 2022). This unprecedented increase, which defied the model minority image, left many shocked and perplexed. Concurrently, Asian Americans have been used as a pawn in the fight to dismantle affirmative action, an uncomfortable position that many Asian Americans themselves do not support. Extant theories and methods in social

cognition cannot adequately explain such events, in part because Asian Americans remain understudied within psychology (Roberts et al., 2020; Torrez et al., 2022; Yip et al., 2021). The problem is structural—research on Asian Americans as well as Asian American researchers themselves are consistently underfunded (Chen et al., 2022, Doan et al., 2019). When social psychologists actively ignore Asian Americans, we miss critical insights on modern race relations. Instead, social cognition research can paint a fuller picture of Asian Americans in the 21st century when we also consider their racial position as perpetual foreigners, their ethnic diversity, and the intersection between race and other dimensions of identity, especially gender. We hope that this article can serve as a roadmap to inspire more research on Asian Americans.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation Grants award to R. Lei (BCS-2122112) and to L. Zou (2140987).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors report no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

This article does not contain any data.

ORCID

Jin X. Goh  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2181-2879>

REFERENCES

- Ahn, L. H., Keum, B. T., Meizys, G. M., Choudry, A., Gomes, M. A., & Wang, L. (2022). Second-generation Asian American women's gendered racial socialization. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 69*(2), 129–145. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000575>
- Ancheta, A. N. (2006). *Race, rights, and the Asian American experience*. Rutgers University Press.
- Armenta, B. E., Lee, R. M., Pituc, S. T., Jung, K.-R., Park, I. J. K., Soto, J. A., Kim, S. Y., & Schwartz, S. J. (2013). Where are you from? A validation of the foreigner objectification scale and the psychological correlates of foreigner objectification among Asian Americans and latinos. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 19*(2), 131–142. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031547>
- Berdahl, J. L., & Min, J. A. (2012). Prescriptive stereotypes and workplace consequences for East Asians in North America. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology, 18*(2), 141–152. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027692>
- Bu, W., & Borgida, E. (2021). A four-dimensional model of Asian American stereotypes. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations, 24*(8), 1262–1283. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430220936360>
- Budiman, A., & Ruiz, N. G. (2021). *Key facts about Asian Americans, a diverse and growing population*. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2021/04/29/key-facts-about-asian-americans/>
- Butz, D. A., & Yogeewaran, K. (2011). A new threat in the air: Macroeconomic threat increases prejudice against Asian Americans. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 47*(1), 22–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2010.07.014>
- Cheah, C. S., Wang, C., Ren, H., Zong, X., Cho, H. S., & Xue, X. (2020). COVID-19 racism and mental health in Chinese American families. *Pediatrics, 146*(5), e2020021816. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-021816>
- Chen, C. Y., Kahanamoku, S. S., Tripathi, A., Alegado, R. A., Morris, V. R., Andrade, K., & Hosbey, J. (2022). Meta-Research: Systemic racial disparities in funding rates at the National Science Foundation. *Elife, 11*, e83071.
- Cheryan, S., & Bodenhausen, G. V. (2020). Model minority. In S. M. Caliendo & C. D. McIlwain (Eds.), *Routledge companion to race & ethnicity* (2nd ed., pp. 199–203). Routledge.
- Cheryan, S., & Monin, B. (2005). Where are you really from?: Asian Americans and identity denial. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 89*(5), 717–730. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.89.5.717>
- Cole, E. R. (2009). Intersectionality and research in psychology. *American Psychologist, 64*(3), 170–180. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014564>
- Craig, M. A., & Richeson, J. A. (2016). Stigma-based solidarity: Understanding the psychological foundations of conflict and coalition among members of different stigmatized groups. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 25*(1), 21–27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721415611252>
- Crenshaw, K. (1989). *Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics* (Vol. 140, pp. 139–167). University of Chicago Legal Forum.

- Czopp, A. M., Kay, A. C., & Cheryan, S. (2015). Positive stereotypes are pervasive and powerful. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 10(4), 451–463. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691615588091>
- Devos, T., & Mohamed, H. (2014). Shades of American identity: Implicit relations between ethnic and national identities. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 8(12), 739–754. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12149>
- Diaz, J., & Romo, V. (2021). *8 people, many of them Asian, shot dead at Atlanta-area spas; man arrested*. NPR. Retrieved from <https://www.npr.org/2021/03/16/978024380/8-women-shot-to-death-at-atlanta-massage-parlors-man-arrested>
- Doàn, L. N., Takata, Y., Sakuma, K. L. K., & Irvin, V. L. (2019). Trends in clinical research including Asian American, native Hawaiian, and Pacific islander participants funded by the US national institutes of health, 1992 to 2018. *JAMA Network Open*, 2(7), e197432. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.7432>
- Dovidio, J. F., Gluszek, A., John, M. S., Dittmann, R., & Lagunes, P. (2010). Understanding bias toward Latinos: Discrimination, dimensions of difference, and experience of exclusion. *Journal of Social Issues*, 66(1), 59–78. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2009.01633.x>
- Dupree, C. H., Torrez, B., Obioha, O., & Fiske, S. T. (2021). Race–status associations: Distinct effects of three novel measures among White and Black perceivers. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 120(3), 601–625. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000257>
- Eidgahy, K., & Pérez, E. O. (2022). How wide is the arc of racial solidarity? People of color and Middle easterners and North africans. *Political Research Quarterly*, 76(1), 239–252. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10659129221076143>
- Fiske, S. T., Cuddy, A. J. C., Glick, P., & Xu, J. (2002). A model of (often mixed) stereotype content: Competence and warmth respectively follow from perceived status and competition. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82(6), 878–902. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.82.6.878>
- Flores, N. M., & Huo, Y. J. (2012). We are not all alike: Consequences of neglecting national origin identities among Asians and Latinos. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 4(2), 143–150. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550612449025>
- Galinsky, A. D., Hall, E. V., & Cuddy, A. J. (2013). Gendered races: Implications for interracial marriage, leadership selection, and athletic participation. *Psychological Science*, 24(4), 498–506. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612457783>
- Gebeloff, R., Lu, D., & Jordan, M. (2021). Inside the diverse and growing Asian population in the U.S. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2021/08/21/us/asians-census-us.html>
- Gersen, J. S. (2022). Affirmative action and the Supreme Courts troubled treatment of Asian Americans. Retrieved from <https://www.newyorker.com/news/our-columnists/affirmative-action-and-the-supreme-courts-troubled-treatment-of-asian-americans>
- Ghavami, N., & Peplau, L. A. (2013). An intersectional analysis of gender and ethnic stereotypes: Testing three hypotheses. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 37(1), 113–127. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0361684312464203>
- Goh, J. X., & McCue, J. (2021). Perceived prototypicality of Asian subgroups in the U.S. and the United Kingdom. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 97, 104201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2021.104201>
- Hall, E. V., Galinsky, A. D., & Phillips, K. W. (2015). Gender profiling: A gendered race perspective on person–position fit. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 41(6), 853–868. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167215580779>
- He, Q., & Xie, Y. (2022). The moral filter of patriotic prejudice: How Americans view Chinese in the COVID-19 era. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(47), e2212183119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2212183119>
- Hegarty, P., & Pratto, F. (2001). The effects of social category norms and stereotypes on explanations for intergroup differences. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 80(5), 723–735. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.80.5.723>
- Ho, C., & Jackson, J. W. (2001). Attitude toward Asian Americans: Theory and measurement. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 31(8), 1553–1581. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2001.tb02742.x>
- Hong, J. H. (2019). *Opening the gates to Asia: A transpacific history of how America repealed asian exclusion*. UNC Press Books.
- Huang, J. T., Krupenkin, M., Rothschild, D., & Lee Cunningham, J. (2023). The cost of anti-Asian racism during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nature Human Behaviour*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-022-01493-6>
- Huynh, Q. L., Devos, T., & Smalarz, L. (2011). Perpetual foreigner in one's own land: Potential implications for identity and psychological adjustment. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 30(2), 133–162. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2011.30.2.133>
- Huynh, V. W. (2012). Ethnic microaggressions and the depressive and somatic symptoms of Latino and Asian American adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 41(7), 831–846. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-012-9756-9>
- Hwang, M. C., & Parreñas, R. S. (2021). The gendered racialization of Asian women as villainous temptresses. *Gender & Society*, 35(4), 567–576. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08912432211029395>
- Hwang, W. C., & Goto, S. (2008). The impact of perceived racial discrimination on the mental health of Asian American and Latino college students. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 14(4), 326–335. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1099-9809.14.4.326>
- Iwamoto, D. K., & Kaya, A. (2016). Asian American men. In Y. J. Wong & S. R. Wester (Eds.), *APA handbook of men and masculinities* (pp. 285–297). American Psychological Association.
- Kalish, R. A., & Moriwaki, S. (1973). The world of the elderly Asian American. *Journal of Social Issues*, 29(2), 187–209. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.1973.tb00080.x>

- Kawakami, K., Amodio, D. M., & Hugenberg, K. (2017). Intergroup perception and cognition: An integrative framework for understanding the causes and consequences of social categorization. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 55, 1–80.
- Kim, C. J. (1999). The racial triangulation of Asian Americans. *Politics & Society*, 27(1), 105–138. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329299027001005>
- Kim, J. H. J., Lu, Q., & Stanton, A. L. (2021). Overcoming constraints of the model minority stereotype to advance Asian American health. *American Psychologist*, 76(4), 611–626. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000799>
- Kim, S. Y., Wang, Y., Deng, S., Alvarez, R., & Li, J. (2011). Accent, perpetual foreigner stereotype, and perceived discrimination as indirect links between English proficiency and depressive symptoms in Chinese American adolescents. *Developmental Psychology*, 47(1), 289–301. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020712>
- King, E. B., Mendoza, S. A., Madera, J. M., Hebl, M. R., & Knight, J. L. (2006). What's in a name? A multiracial investigation of the role of occupational stereotypes in selection decisions. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 36(5), 1145–1159. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0021-9029.2006.00035.x>
- Kinzler, K. D., Shutts, K., & Correll, J. (2010). Priorities in social categories. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 40(4), 581–592. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.739>
- Kuo, E. E., Kraus, M. W., & Richeson, J. A. (2020). High-status exemplars and the misperception of the Asian-White wealth gap. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 11(3), 397–405. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550619867940>
- Lee, E. (2015). *The making of Asian America: A history*. Simon & Schuster.
- Lee, J. (2019). Many dimensions of Asian American pan-ethnicity. *Sociology Compass*, 13(12), e12751. <https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12751>
- Lee, J. (2022). When the geopolitical threat of China Stokes bias against Asian Americans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(50), e2217950119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2217950119>
- Lee, J., & Ramakrishnan, K. (2020). Who counts as Asian. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 43(10), 1733–1756. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2019.1671600>
- Lee, J., Wong, J., Ramakrishnan, K., & Vinh, R. (2022). 69% of Asian American registered voters support affirmative action. Retrieved from <http://aapidata.com/blog/affirmative-action-aavs-2022/>
- Lee, S., & Waters, S. F. (2021). Asians and Asian Americans' experiences of racial discrimination during the COVID-19 pandemic: Impacts on health outcomes and the buffering role of social support. *Stigma and Health*, 6(1), 70–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/sah0000275>
- Lei, R. F., Foster-Hanson, E., & Goh, J. X. (2023). A sociohistorical model of intersectional social category prototypes. *Nature Reviews Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-023-00165-0>
- Lei, R. F., Leshin, R. A., Moty, K., Foster-Hanson, E., & Rhodes, M. (2022). How race and gender shape the development of social prototypes in the U.S. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 151(8), 1956–1971. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0001164>
- Lei, R. F., Leshin, R. A., & Rhodes, M. (2020). The development of intersectional social prototypes. *Psychological Science*, 31(8), 911–926. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797620920360>
- Leshin, R. A., Lei, R. F., Byrne, M., & Rhodes, M. (2022). Who is a typical woman? Exploring variation in how race biases representations of gender across development. *Developmental Science*, 25(2), e13175. <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.13175>
- Li, Y., & Nicholson, H. L., Jr. (2021). When model minorities become “yellow peril”—Othering and the racialization of Asian Americans in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sociology Compass*, 15(2), e12849. <https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12849>
- Livingston, R. W., Rosette, A. S., & Washington, E. F. (2012). Can an agentic Black woman get ahead? The impact of race and interpersonal dominance on perceptions of female leaders. *Psychological Science*, 23(4), 354–358. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797611428079>
- Lu, J. G., Nisbett, R. E., & Morris, M. W. (2020). Why East Asians but not South Asians are underrepresented in leadership positions in the U.S. In *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences* (Vol. 117, pp. 4590–4600).9.
- Lundqvist, D., Flykt, A., & Öhman, A. (1998). *The Karolinska directed emotional faces*. Karolinska Institute.
- Ma, D. S., Correll, J., & Wittenbrink, B. (2015). The Chicago face database: A free stimulus set of faces and norming data. *Behavior Research Methods*, 47(4), 1122–1135. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-014-0532-5>
- Maddux, W. W., Galinsky, A. D., Cuddy, A. J., & Polifroni, M. (2008). When being a model minority is good and bad: Realistic threat explains negativity toward Asian Americans. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 34(1), 74–89. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167207309195>
- Mandalaywala, T. M., Gonzalez, G., & Tropp, L. R. (2021). *Early perceptions of COVID-19 intensity and anti-Asian prejudice among White Americans*. Group Processes & Intergroup Relations.
- Martin, A. E., & Slepian, M. L. (2021). The primacy of gender: Gendered cognition underlies the Big Two dimensions of social cognition. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 16(6), 1143–1158. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691620904961>

- Mukkamala, S., & Suyemoto, K. L. (2018). Racialized sexism/sexualized racism: A multimethod study of intersectional experiences of discrimination for Asian American women. *Asian American Journal of Psychology*, 9(1), 32–46. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aap0000104>
- Nadal, K. L., Vigilía Escobar, K. M., Prado, G. T., David, E. J. R., & Haynes, K. (2012). Racial microaggressions and the Filipino American experience: Recommendations for counseling and development. *Journal of Multicultural Counseling and Development*, 40(3), 156–173. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-1912.2012.00015.x>
- Ngo, B. (2006). Learning from the margins: The education of Southeast and South Asian Americans in context. *Race, Ethnicity and Education*, 9(1), 51–65. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13613320500490721>
- O'Brien, E. (2008). *The racial middle: Latinos and Asian Americans living beyond the racial divide*. NYU Press.
- Okamoto, D. G. (2014). *Redefining race: Asian American panethnicity and shifting ethnic boundaries*. Russell Sage Foundation.
- Oreopoulos, P. (2011). Why do skilled immigrants struggle in the labor market? A field experiment with thirteen thousand resumes. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 3(4), 148–171. <https://doi.org/10.1257/pol.3.4.148>
- Park, M. (2013). Asian American masculinity eclipsed: A legal and historical perspective of emasculation through us immigration practices. *The Modern American*, 8(1), 5–17.
- Pope, T., Zou, L. X., Hailu, F., El-Hout, M., Banham, L., & Cheryan, S. (2023). Racial and ethnic groups face different patterns of hiring discrimination for different jobs. Manuscript in preparation.
- Purdie-Vaughns, V., & Eibach, R. P. (2008). Intersectional invisibility: The distinctive advantages and disadvantages of multiple subordinate-group identities. *Sex Roles*, 59(5–6), 377–391. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-008-9424-4>
- Roberts, S. O., Bareket-Shavit, C., Dollins, F. A., Goldie, P. D., & Mortenson, E. (2020). Racial inequality in psychological research: Trends of the past and recommendations for the future. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 15(6), 1295–1309. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691620927709>
- Rosenbloom, S. R., & Way, N. (2004). Experiences of discrimination among African American, Asian American, and Latino adolescents in an urban high school. *Youth & Society*, 35(4), 420–451. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0044118x03261479>
- Rosette, A. S., & Livingston, R. W. (2012). Failure is not an option for Black women: Effects of organizational performance on leaders with single versus dual-subordinate identities. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 48(5), 1162–1167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2012.05.002>
- Ryabov, I. (2016). Colorism and educational outcomes of Asian Americans: Evidence from the national longitudinal study of adolescent health. *Social Psychology of Education*, 19(2), 303–324. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-015-9327-5>
- Schein, V. E., Mueller, R., Lituchy, T., & Liu, J. (1996). Think manager—think male: A global phenomenon? *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 17(1), 33–41. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(sici\)1099-1379\(199601\)17:1<33::aid-job778>3.0.co;2-f](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1099-1379(199601)17:1<33::aid-job778>3.0.co;2-f)
- Schug, J., Alt, N. P., & Klauer, K. C. (2015). Gendered race prototypes: Evidence for the non-prototypicality of Asian men and Black women. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 56, 121–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2014.09.012>
- Schug, J., Alt, N. P., Lu, P. S., Gosin, M., & Fay, J. L. (2017). Gendered race in mass media: Invisibility of Asian men and Black women in popular magazines. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, 6(3), 222–236. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000096>
- Semrow, M., Zou, L. X., Liu, S., & Cheryan, S. (2020). Gay Asian Americans are seen as more American than Asian Americans who are presumed straight. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 11(3), 336–344. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550619849426>
- Sidanius, J., & Pratto, F. (1999). *Social dominance: An intergroup theory of social hierarchy and oppression*. Cambridge University Press.
- Spelke, E. S., & Kinzler, K. D. (2007). Core knowledge. *Developmental Science*, 10(1), 89–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2007.00569.x>
- Stop AAPI Hate. (2022). *Two years and thousands of voices: What community-generated data tells us about anti-AAPI hate*. Stop AAPI Hate Report Center. Retrieved from <https://stopaapihate.org/year-2-report/>
- Sue, D. W., Bucceri, J., Lin, A. I., Nadal, K. L., & Torino, G. C. (2007). Racial microaggressions and the Asian American experience. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 13(1), 72–81. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1099-9809.13.1.72>
- Sue, S., Sue, D., & Sue, D. W. (2021). Who are the Asian Americans? Commentary on the Asian American psychology special issue. *American Psychologist*, 76(4), 689–692. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000825>
- Sy, T., Shore, L. M., Strauss, J., Shore, T. H., Tram, S., Whiteley, P., & Ikeda-Muromachi, K. (2010). Leadership perceptions as a function of race-occupation fit: The case of Asian Americans. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95(5), 902–919. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019501>
- Thangaraj, S. (2015). They said ‘go back to Afghanistan’ South Asian American basketball culture and challenging the “terrorist” stereotype. *Amerasia Journal*, 41(2), 25–46. <https://doi.org/10.17953/aj.41.2.25>
- Timming, A. R. (2017). The effect of foreign accent on employability: A study of the aural dimensions of aesthetic labour in customer-facing and non-customer-facing jobs. *Work, Employment & Society*, 31(3), 409–428. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017016630260>
- Torrez, B., Hudson, S. K. T. J., & Dupree, C. H. (2022). Racial equity in social psychological science: A guide for scholars, institutions, and the field. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 17(1), e12720. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12720>

- Tseng, V., & Lee, R. M. (2021). From margin to center: An Asian Americanist psychology. *American Psychologist*, 76(4), 693–700. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000866>
- Venkatraman, S. (2022). *How Asian-led student groups are continuing affirmative action fight at Harvard and UNC*. NBC News. Retrieved from <https://www.nbcnews.com/news/asian-america/asian-led-student-groups-are-continuing-affirmative-action-fight-harva-rcna55071>
- Vial, A. C., Muradoglu, M., Newman, G. E., & Cimpian, A. (2022). An emphasis on brilliance fosters masculinity-contest cultures. *Psychological Science*, 33(4), 595–612. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09567976211044133>
- Wenzel, M., Mummendey, A., & Waldzus, S. (2008). Superordinate identities and intergroup conflict: The ingroup projection model. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 18(1), 331–372. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10463280701728302>
- Wong, V. (2021). *Falling through the cracks of the Chinese American dream*. BuzzFeed News. Retrieved from <https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/venessawong/chinatown-new-york-city-working-class-safety-net>
- Wu, C., Qian, Y., & Wilkes, R. (2021). Anti-Asian discrimination and the Asian-white mental health gap during COVID-19. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 44(5), 819–835. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2020.1851739>
- Wu, E. D. (2013). *The color of success*. Princeton University Press.
- Xu, K., Nosek, B., & Greenwald, A. G. (2014). Data from the race Implicit association test on the project Implicit demo website. *Journal of Open Psychology Data*, 2(1), e3.
- Yamashita, L. (2022). I just couldn't relate to that Asian American narrative": How Southeast Asian Americans reconsider panethnicity. *Sociology of Race and Ethnicity*, 8(2), 250–266. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23326492221078953>
- Yip, T., Cheah, C. S. L., Kiang, L., & Hall, G. C. N. (2021). Rendered invisible: Are Asian Americans a model or a marginalized minority? *American Psychologist*, 76(4), 575–581. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000857>
- Yogeeswaran, K., Dasgupta, N., & Gomez, C. (2012). A new American dilemma? The effect of ethnic identification and public service on the national inclusion of ethnic minorities. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 42(6), 691–705. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.1894>
- Young, I. F., & Sullivan, D. (2016). Competitive victimhood: A review of the theoretical and empirical literature. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 11, 30–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2016.04.004>
- Zou, L. X., & Cheryan, S. (2017). Two axes of subordination: A new model of racial position. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 112(5), 696–717. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000080>
- Zou, L. X., & Cheryan, S. (2022). Diversifying neighborhoods and schools engender perceptions of foreign cultural threat among White Americans. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 151(5), 1115–1131. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0001115>

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

Jin X. Goh is an assistant professor of psychology at Colby College. His research focuses on how social identities shape social perceptions and evaluations.

Ryan F. Lei is an assistant professor of psychology at Haverford College. His research takes an intersectional perspective on how people learn and use social categories.

Linda X. Zou is an assistant professor of psychology at the University of Maryland. Her recent research examines how racial and ethnic groups think about and relate to one another.

How to cite this article: Goh, J. X., Lei, R. F., & Zou, L. X. (2023). Positioning Asian Americans in social cognition. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, e12760. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12760>